UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/731,551	12/08/2003	Todd K. Whitehurst	AB-308U	4571	
23845 A DV A NCED 1	7590 02/21/2008 RIONICS LLC		EXAMINER		
ADVANCED BIONICS, LLC 25129 RYE CANYON LOOP			EVANISKO, GE	EVANISKO, GEORGE ROBERT	
VALENCIA, O	CA 91355		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		3762		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
	•		02/21/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
0.55	10/731,5	51	WHITEHURST ET A	L.			
Office Action Summary	Examine	r	Art Unit				
		. Evanisko	3762				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the	e cover sheet with	the correspondence addr	ess			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per  Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THE R 1.136(a). In no ev riod will apply and w atute, cause the app	HIS COMMUNICA rent, however, may a repty rill expire SIX (6) MONTHS blication to become ABAN	TION.  y be timely filed  S from the mailing date of this commoned (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14	4 September 2	<u> 2007</u> .					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) T	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er Ex parte Qu	<i>layle</i> , 1935 C.D. 1	1, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-31</u> is/are pending in the applicat	ion.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without	drawn from co	nsideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		•	•				
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) <u>1-31</u> are subject to restriction and/	or election red	quirement.					
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a		) ☐ objected to by	the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor	rection is requir	red if the drawing(s)	is objected to. See 37 CFR	. 1.121(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. No	ote the attached C	Office Action or form PTO	<b>-152</b> .			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of:	ign priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).				
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority docum</li> </ol>				•			
<ol><li>Certified copies of the priority docum</li></ol>							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p		•	ceived in this National S	tage			
application from the International Bur							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the cert	ified copies not re	ceived.				
Attachment(s)		_					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	•	4) Interview Sun	nmary (PTO-413) Mail Date				
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)</li> </ul>	l .		rmal Patent Application				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date		6) Other:					

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Due to the numerous claim amendments, restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-17, drawn to a method of treating angina using an external appliance to transmit stimulation parameters, classified in class 607, subclass 59.
- II. Claims 18-21, 24-27, 30, and 31, drawn to a method to treat angina using a pulse frequency less than 100 Hz, classified in class 607, subclass 72.
- III. Claims 22-23 and 29, drawn to a method to treat angina using a series of inhibitory pulses, classified in class 607, subclass 9.
- IV. Claim 28, drawn to a method to treat angina using signals that disrupt pain signals, classified in class 607, subclass 46.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and (II, III, and IV) are related as combination and subcombination.

Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the combination does not require using pulses less than 100 Hz, applying a series of inhibitory pulses, or disrupt pain signals by applying the pulses to the different nerves. The subcombination has separate utility such as a method to treat angina not requiring an

Art Unit: 3762

external appliance to transmit stimulation parameters to the implantable device, but using solely the implantable device to provide the stimulation parameters, either through pre-programming or based on sensed signals.

The examiner has required restriction between combination and subcombination inventions. Where applicant elects a subcombination, and claims thereto are subsequently found allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

Inventions II and III are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, subcombination III has separate utility such as stimulating at a rate greater than 100 Hz and subcombination II has separate utility such as not using a series of inhibitory pulses, but stimulating pulses. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

The examiner has required restriction between subcombinations usable together. Where applicant elects a subcombination and claims thereto are subsequently found allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional

Art Unit: 3762

application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

Inventions (II, III) and IV are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, subcombinations II and III have separate utility such as not requiring stimulation of the different nerves, such as the stellate ganglia, but solely the intercostal nerves, whereas subcombination IV does not require stimulating the intercostal nerves or applying pulses less than 100 Hz or inhibitory pulses. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

The examiner has required restriction between subcombinations usable together. Where applicant elects a subcombination and claims thereto are subsequently found allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

Restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper because all these inventions listed in this action are independent or distinct for the reasons given above <u>and</u> there would be a serious search and examination burden if restriction were not required because one or more of the following reasons apply:

Application/Control Number: 10/731,551

Art Unit: 3762

(a) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification;

Page 5

- (b) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter;
- (c) the inventions require a different field of search (for example, searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries);
- (d) the prior art applicable to one invention would not likely be applicable to another invention;
- (e) the inventions are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C. 101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include

(i) an election of a invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected invention.

If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable upon the elected invention.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to George R. Evanisko whose telephone number is 571 272 4945. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 6:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Angela Sykes can be reached on 571 272 4955. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/731,551

Art Unit: 3762

Page 7

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

George R Evanisko Primary Examiner Art Unit 3762

2/19/8

GRE 2/19/08